FROM

Elements of Style

I’m writing this essay for 2 reasons. First: to talk about my work—to give those readers, scholars, directors and performers of my plays a way in—so that instead of calling me up they can, with this “guide,” dive into an examination with great confidence. Secondly, I want to examine what seems to me a real crisis in American dramatic literature. I’m hoping to form a sort of bulwark against an insidious, tame-looking, schmaltz-laden mode of expression that threatens to cover us all, like Vesuvius, in our sleep.
As a writer my job is to write good plays; it’s also to defend dramatic literature against becoming “Theatre of Schmaltz.” For while there are several playwrights whose work I love love love, it also seems that in no other form of writing these days is the writing so awful—so intended to produce some reaction of sorts, to discuss some issue: the play-as-wrapping-paper-version-of-hot-newspaper-headline, trying so hard to be so hip; so uninterested in the craft of writing: the simple work of putting one word next to another; so uninterested in the marvel of live bodies on stage. Theatre seems mired in the interest of stating some point, or tugging some heartstring, or landing a laugh, or making a splash, or wagging a finger. In no other artform are the intentions so slim! As a playwright I try to do many things: explore the form, ask questions, make a good show, tell a good story, ask more questions, take nothing for granted.
This essay is intended primarily for the new generation of theatre makers. For those of us who haven’t yet reached the point where we can say we’ve spent ½ our lives in theatre. I’ve been writing plays for 11 years now; all along I’ve felt that the survival of this splendid artform—an art that is not “poor film” or “cheap TV” but an art so specific and strange in its examination of the human condition—depends not only on the older guard but also on those of us who are relative newcomers.
There are many ways to challenge ourselves as theatre artists. Here are some ideas, feelings, thoughts, takes on the world, riffs, ways of approaching the word, the page, the event, the subject, the stage, that keep me awake.

theatre

Jesus. Right from the jump, ask yourself: “Why does this thing I’m writing have to be a play?” The words “why,” “have” and “play” are key. If you don’t have an answer then get out of town. No joke. The last thing American theatre needs is another lame play.

form and content

Form is never more than an extension of content.
—Robert Creeley to Charles Olson

A playwright, as any other artist, should accept the bald fact that content determines form and form determines content; that form and content are interdependent. Form should not be looked at askance and held suspect—form is not something that “gets in the way of the story” but is an integral part of the story. This understanding is important to me and my writing. This is to say that as I write along the container dictates what sort of substance will fill it and, at the same time, the substance
is dictating the size and shape of the container. Also, “form” is not a strictly “outside” thing while “content” stays “inside.” It’s like this: I am an African-American woman—this is the form I take, my content predicates this form, and this form is inseparable from my content. No way could I be me otherwise.

Playwrights are often encouraged to write 2-act plays with traditional linear narratives. Those sorts of plays are fine, but we should understand that the form is not merely a docile passive vessel, but an active participant in the sort of play which ultimately inhabits it. Why linear narrative at all? Why choose that shape? If a playwright chooses to tell a dramatic story, and realizes that there are essential elements of that story which lead the writing outside the realm of “linear narrative,” then the play naturally assumes a new shape. I’m saying that the inhabitants of Mars do not look like us. Nor should they. I’m also saying that Mars is with us—right on our doorstep and should be explored. Most playwrights who consider themselves avant-garde spend a lot of time badmouthing the more traditional forms. The naturalism of, say, Lorraine Hansberry is beautiful and should not be dismissed simply because it’s naturalism. We should understand that realism, like other movements in other artforms, is a specific response to a certain historical climate. I don’t explode the form because I find traditional plays “boring”—I don’t really. It’s just that those structures never could accommodate the figures which take up residence inside me.

repetition and revision

“Repetition and Revision” is a concept integral to the Jazz esthetic in which the composer or per-
movement we refigure A. And if we continue to call this movement FORWARD PROGRESSION, which I think it is, then we refigure the idea of forward progression. And if we insist on calling writings structured with this in mind PLAYS, which I think they are, then we’ve got a different kind of dramatic literature.

What does it mean for characters to say the same thing twice? 3 times? Over and over and over and oh-vah. Yes. How does that effect their, physical life? Is this natural? Non-natural? Real? In Betting on the Dust Commander (1987), the “climax” could be the accumulated weight of the repetition—a residue that, like city dust, stays with us.

After years of listening to Jazz, and classical music too, I’m realizing that my writing is very influenced by music; how much I employ its methods. Through reading lots I’ve realized how much the idea of Repetition and Revision is an integral part of the African and African-American literary and oral traditions.

I am most interested in words and how they impact on actors and directors and how those folks physicalize those verbal aberrations. How does this—Rep & Rev—a literal incorporation of the past—impact on the creation of a theatrical experience?

time

I walk around with my head full of lay-person ideas about the universe. Here’s one of them: “Time has a circular shape.” Could Time be tricky like the world once was—looking flat from our place on it—and through looking at things beyond the world we found it round? Somehow I think Time could be like this too. Not that I’m planning to write a science book—the goofy idea just helps me NOT to take established shapes for granted.

“yesterday today
next summer
tomorrow just uh
moment uhgh in
1317 died thuh
last black man in
thuh whole entire
world.”

from Elements of Style

Keeps me awing it. Attaches the idea of Rep & Rev to a larger shape.
Also: lookie here!

Standard Time Line and Standard Plot Line are in cahoots!

‘etymology
I spend a lot of time reading the dictionary. The word “grammar” is etymologically related to the word “charm.” Most words have fabulous etymologies. Thrilling histories. Words are very old things. Because words are so old they hold; they have a big connection with the what was. Words are spells in our mouths. My interest in the history of words—where they came from, where they’re going—has a direct impact on my playwrighting because, for me, Language is a physical act. It’s something which involves your entire body—not just your head. Words are spells which an actor consumes and digests—and through digesting creates a performance on stage. Each word is configured to give the actor a clue to their physical
life. Look at the difference between “the” and “thuh.” The “uh” requires the actor to employ a different physical, emotional, vocal attack.

**ghost**
A person from, say, time immemorial, from, say, PastLand, from somewhere back there, say, walks into my house. She or he is always alone and will almost always take up residence in a corner. Why they’re alone I don’t know. Perhaps they’re coming missionary style — there are always more to follow. Why they choose a corner to stand in I don’t know either — maybe because it’s the intersection of 2 directions — maybe because it’s safe.
They are not *characters*. To call them so could be an injustice. They are *figures*, *figments*, *ghosts*, *roles*, *lovers* maybe, *speakers* maybe, *shadows*, *slips*, *players* maybe, maybe *someone else’s pulse*.

**math**
The equations of some plays:

---

**IMPERCEPTIBLE MUTABILITIES**

```
USA

X

Africa

solve for X
```

---

**DUST COMMANDER**

```
X (the past)

DUST COMMANDER

solve for X
(there are several answers)
```

---

**FICKLING**

```
the play is trying to find an equation
for time saved/saving time
in theatre/experience/performing/
being/living etc. is all about
spending time. No equation or...?
```

---

**LAST BLACK MAN**

```
1. find the volume
   of this solid
2. find the half-life
```

---
some obscured “meaning” rather than simply the thing itself. As Beckett sez: “No symbols where none intended.” Don’t ask playwrights what their plays mean; rather, tell them what you think and have an exchange of ideas.

**the NEA hoopla**
Overweight southern senators are easy targets. They too easily become focal points of all evil, allowing the arts community to WILLFULLY IGNORE our own bigotry, our own petty evils, our own intolerance which—evil senators or no—will be the death of the arts.

**history**
History is time that won’t quit.

**dance**
If you’re one who writes sitting down, once before you die try dancing around as you write. It’s the old world way of getting to the deep shit.

**humor**
A playwright should pack all five, all six—all 7 senses. The 6th helps you feel another’s pulse at great distances; the 7th sense is the sense of humor. Playwrights can come from the most difficult circumstances, but having a sense of humor is what happens when you “get out of the way.” It’s sorta Zen. Laughter is very powerful—it’s not a way of escaping anything but a way of arriving on the scene. Think about laughter and what happens to your body—it’s almost the same thing that happens to you when you throw up.

**action in the line**
The action goes in the line of dialogue instead of always in a pissy set of parentheses. How the line
should be delivered is contained in the line itself. Stage directions disappear. Dialogue becomes rich and strange. It's an old idea. The Greeks did it and Shakespeare too, all over the place. Something to try at least once before you die.

sex
People have asked me why I don't put any sex in my plays. "The Great Hole of History"—like, duh.

a (rest)
Take a little time, a pause, a breather; make a transition.

a spell
An elongated and heightened (rest). Denoted by repetition of figures' names with no dialogue. Has sort of an architectural look.

Lucy
Brazil
The Foundling Father and
Lucy
Brazil
The Foundling Father

and

The Foundling Father
The Foundling Father
The Foundling Father

This is a place where the figures experience their pure true simple state. While no "action" or "stage business" is necessary, directors should fill this moment as they best see fit.

The feeling: look at a daguerreotype; or: the planets are aligning and as they move we hear the music of their spheres. A spell is a place of great (unspoken) emotion. It's also a place for an emotional transition.

foreign words & phrases
iduhnt /id-ont/, a variant of is not or isn't. "We aren't from these parts...Daddy iduhnt either" (America).
heud /héd-/, a variant of he would or he'd. "So only natural that heud come out here tuh dig" (America).
do in diddy dip didded thuh drop /did-in-did-did-thuh-drop/, meaning unclear. Perhaps an elaborated confirmation, a fancy "yes!" Although it could also be used as a question such as "Yeah?" (Last Black Man).
ssnuch /ssnach/ (Air intake sound not through mouth or throat but in through the nose.) A fast reverse snort, a big sniff (usually accompanies crying or sneezing). "Snnuch. Blowings hard. For me." (Dust Commander)
thup /thup/, (Air intake with sound placed in mouth; liberal use of tongue.) Slurping. (Imperceptible Mutabilities)
uhl or uuh! /uh!/ (Air intake.) Deep quick breath. Usually denotes drowning or breathlessness. "Years uhhgoh from uh boat I had been—UHH! Jetisoned" (Imperceptible Mutabilities); "...in 1317 died thuh last black man in the whole entire world. UH!" (Last Black Man).
thuh /thuh/, variant of the. "Thuh ultimate battle of love requires uh good go between" (Devotees in the Garden of Love).
chuh /chuh/ The polite form of the expletive "Shit!" (Dust Commander)
k /kay/, variant of okay. (America)
gaw (This is a glottal stop. No forward tongue or
lip action here. The root of the tongue snaps or clicks in the back of the throat.) Possible performance variations: a click-clock sound where the tongue tip clicks in the front of the mouth; or a strangulated articulation of the word Gaw! “gaw gaw gaw eeeee-uh” (Imperceptible Mutabilities).

_language is a physical act_
Language is a physical act—something that involves yr whole bod.
Write with yr whole bod.
Read with yr whole bod.
Wake up.

_opening night_
Don’t be shy about looking gorgeous.
I suggest black.

An Equation for Black People Onstage

Simply this:
The bulk of relationships Black people are engaged in onstage is the relationship between the Black and the White other. This is the stuff of high drama. I wonder if a drama involving Black people can exist without the presence of the White—no, not the presence—the presence is not the problem. As Toni Morrison writes in her essay “Black Matters,” the presence of the White often signifies the presence of the Black. Within the subject is its other. So the mere presence of the other is not the problem. The interest in the other is. The use of the White in the dramatic equation is, I think, too often seen as the only way of exploring our Blackness; this equation reduces Blackness to merely a state of “non-Whiteness.” Blackness in this equation is a people whose lives consist of a series of reactions and responses to the White ruling class. We have for so long been an “oppressed” people, but are Black people only blue? As African-Americans we have a history, a future and a daily reality in which a confrontation with a White ruling class is a central feature. This reality makes life difficult. This reality often traps us in a singular mode of expression. There are many ways of defining Blackness and there are many ways of presenting Blackness onstage. The Klan does not always have to be outside the door for Black people to have lives worthy of dramatic literature. Saying that “Whitey” has to be present in Black drama because Whitey is an inextricable